

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/635,624	08/10/2000	Paul A. Firestone		5848	
20311	7590 05/20/2004		EXAMINER		
MUSERLIAN AND LUCAS AND MERCANTI, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA		
NEW YORK,	· ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/635,624	5,624 FIRESTONE, PAUL A.			
ravioury riodon	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she t with th	orrespondence addi	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	y to a tion in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper onto the fee. The appropriate or the final (a)	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	3.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT	「place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11,13-18 and 20-26</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	···			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		1			

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

MICHAEL G. TEE

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner submits that the Urbish, Slavin, and Leitner references read upon the claimed invention.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 11, 13-18, and 20-26 remain rejected as set forth in the final rejection of paper no. 1103.